

# DATA MANAGEMENT AND MINING IN ASTROPHYSICAL DATABASES

ALESSANDRO DE ANGELIS <sup>a,b</sup>, MARCO FRAILIS <sup>b</sup>, VITO ROBERTO <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Udine, via delle Scienze 208, 33100 Udine, Italy*

<sup>b</sup> *INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Gruppo di Udine, via delle Scienze 208, 33100 Udine, Italy*

<sup>c</sup> *Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Udine, via delle Scienze 208, 33100 Udine, Italy*

## Abstract

We analyse the issues involved in the management and mining of astrophysical data. The traditional approach to data management in the astrophysical field is not able to keep up with the increasing size of the data gathered by modern detectors. An essential role in the astrophysics research will be assumed by automatic tools for information extraction from large datasets, that is data mining techniques, such as clustering and classification algorithms. This asks for an approach to data management based on data warehousing, emphasizing the efficiency and simplicity of data access rather than data normalization; efficiency is obtained using multidimensional access methods and simplicity is achieved by properly handling metadata. Clustering and classification techniques, on large datasets, pose additional requirements: computational and memory scalability with respect to the data size, interpretability and objectivity of clustering or classification results. In this study we address some possible solutions.

## 1 Introduction

Data mining is the exploration and analysis, by automatic or semiautomatic means, of large quantities of data in order to discover meaningful patterns and rules [1]. Its goal is to find *patterns* or *relationships* in data using techniques to synthesize models which are abstract representations of reality. There are two main types of data mining models [2]: *descriptive* and *predictive*. *Descriptive* models represent patterns in data and are generally used to build meaningful groups or clusters. *Predictive* models are used to forecast explicit values, on the basis of samples with known results.

At present, astrophysics is a discipline in which the exponential grow and heterogeneity of data require the use of data mining techniques. Due to the advances

in telescopes, detectors and computer technologies, astrophysics has become a domain extremely rich of scientific data. Currently, there exist large archives and digital sky surveys with dimensions of  $10^{12}$  bytes, while in the near future they will reach sizes of the order of  $10^{15}$  bytes. The primary source of astronomical data are the systematic sky surveys over a wide energy range (from  $10^{-7}$  eV to  $10^{12}$  eV). Numerical simulations are also producing comparable volumes of information.

Therefore, the use of data mining techniques is necessary to maximize the information extraction from such a growing quantity of data. Data mining has reached a certain degree of maturity in data exploration for decision support mostly in domains like marketing, sales and customer care. In the astrophysics domain the role of data mining is to help researchers building or verifying new physical models based on observational data.

A first issue in applying these techniques is the heterogeneity of astronomical data, due in part to their high dimensionality including both spatial and temporal components, due in part to the multiplicity of instruments and projects. Another issue is that currently astronomical data are organized in a traditional operational system, in which the emphasis is on data normalization; data mining techniques, instead, require putting more emphasis on efficiency and simplicity of data access, even if this entails some redundancy. Gathering data from multiple astronomical datasets to perform multi-wavelength analysis necessitates both using an informational system, or data warehouse, as a model for data management, and the definition of a common set of metadata to guarantee the interoperability between different archives and a simpler data exploration.

Data mining techniques are rather general and can be employed in different application domains in which there is an intensive use of data. Such techniques include [3]:

1. Clustering techniques, such as Expectation Maximization (EM) with mixture models or Self-Organizing Maps (SOM), to find regions of interest, produce descriptive summaries and build density estimates of large datasets, or methods like Support Vector Clustering (SVC) to single-out rare objects or anomalous events.
2. Classification techniques, such as decision trees, nearest-neighbor classifiers, neural networks and statistical learning methods like Support Vector Machines (SVM), to categorize objects or clusters of objects of interest. The classification result is further analyzed to verify whether physically meaningful objects or groups of objects have been identified, and if these objects are present in some catalog or they are new ones.
3. Techniques to improve clustering and classification algorithms, such as genetic algorithms and Principal Component Analysis (PCA). These methods allow to find the best features and reduce the dimensionality of the domain space.
4. Software agents for automatic or semi-automatic information search and analysis.

5. Data visualization and presentation techniques (exploratory data mining). These techniques allow to present multidimensional information in a way easily understandable by a human user.

## 2 Data management in astrophysical databases

At present, several multi-wavelength projects are underway, for example SDSS, GALEX, GSC-2, POSS2, ROSAT, FIRST and DENIS. In the next years new spatial missions will be launched; two of them, AGILE and GLAST, will observe gamma-rays on a wide energy range. Besides, ground based telescopes sensitive to gamma-rays are being tested (MAGIC, HESS, VERITAS, CANGAROO III).

Therefore, astrophysicists will need a uniform interface to access all these data [4]. Data gathered by all missions are heterogeneous as they are mission oriented and dependent on the particular platform or instrument (including hardware components information, quality flags decided inside the mission, derived measures for particular analysis). Several scientific research fields require to perform the analysis on multiple energy spectra and consequently to get the data from different missions. Typically, astrophysicists want to retrieve multi-spectral data for specific objects, classes of objects (i.e. AGN, HII region) or selected regions of the sky. However, metadata in mission archives are not designed to answer these queries [5].

### 2.1 The data warehousing approach

Most of the online resources available to the astrophysicists community are simple data archives. Typically, users can perform queries based on observational parameters (detector, type of the observation, coordinates, astronomical object, exposure time, etc.) to obtain images which are then processed by standard analysis tools. Many astronomical catalogs can be accessed online, even if it's still difficult to correlate objects in different archives or access multiple catalogs simultaneously. Some advances, in this direction, have been accomplished by projects like Vizier, Aladin and SkyView.

To identify objects and parameters which allow to answer directly to particular scientific issues it's necessary to build a *scientific archive* containing the results of data analysis - scientific measurements - rather than the data itself [6]. The users of this archive should be able to perform queries based on scientific parameters (magnitude, redshift, spectral indexes, morphological type of galaxies, etc.), easily discover the object types contained into the archive and the available properties for each type, and define the set of objects which they are interested in by constraining the values of their scientific properties along with the desired level of detail.

Data mining applied to large astrophysical databases can involve the execution of complex queries and multiple scans of large quantity of data. Therefore, it's opportune to put more emphasis on data access efficiency rather than on data normalization.

All the aforesaid requirements can be satisfied organizing data in a data warehouse. A data warehouse can be defined as a *subject-oriented, integrated, time varying* and *non-volatile* data collection [7]. Subject-oriented means that in a data warehouse data are collected and organized with the aim of a particular analysis. The second property is surely the most important one; in fact, a data warehouse has to integrate with the multiplicity of standards used by the sources it gathers data from (i.e. multiple astronomical catalogs). This data integration process can involve conversion of types, formats or units and the addition of derived types (i.e. several statistical measures). A data warehouse is time varying because its time horizon usually oscillates between 5 and 10 years and along this period of time data collected are a series of snapshots taken in fixed temporal instants [8]. It's non-volatile because data updating, and the resulting loss of information, doesn't take place within it.

In a data warehouse, data are arranged in a structure that can be easily explored and queried, with fewer tables and keys than the equivalent relational model. You start from a relational model, but some restrictions are introduced by using *facts, dimensions, hierarchies* and *measures* in a characteristic star structure called *star schema* [9]. The central table is called "fact" table and it's the highest dimensional table of the scheme. It can represent a particular phenomenon that we want to study. This table is surrounded by a number of tables, called "dimensions", which represent entities related to the phenomenon to be studied and connected to the central table, forming the ends of the star. Within the dimensions, attributes are arranged in hierarchies, determining the "drill-down" and "roll-up" operations available on each dimension: the result is a tree that the user can visit from the root to the leaves, refining his query (drill-down) or generalizing it (roll-up).

## 2.2 The metadata role

Accessing data into a set of continuously evolving catalogs state the problem of accessing and understanding parameters available in each catalog. A typical problem is understanding whether a catalog contains colour data, what is the reliability of these data, if they are written in a standard format, if they are taken from other publications or catalogs, how the associated data file can be processed. All these details describe data - they are metadata - and traditionally are presented in the introduction of printed catalogs or detailed in several publications analyzing the catalog data.

Metadata play an important role: a researcher has to obtain information about the environment in which data have been gathered in order to understand the correspondence to the project requirements; for instance: date and/or data acquisition method, internal or external error estimates, aim of data. Computing systems have to access metadata to merge or compare data from different sources. For instance, it's necessary that units are expressed unambiguously to allow comparisons between data with different units.

The astrophysicists community, in addition to using the FITS (Flexible Im-

age Transport System) exchange format, is currently considering alternatives like XML. Some attempts to define a common standard are AML (Astronomical Markup Language), XSIL (eXtensible Scientific Interchange Language), XDF (eXtensible Data Format) and VOTable.

### 3 Spatial and multidimensional data structures

Spatial DataBase Systems (SDBS) are designed to handle spatial data and the associated non-spatial information. Spatial data are characterized by a complex structure (a spatial object can be a single point or a set of polygons arbitrarily distributed), they are usually dynamic (requiring robust data structures for frequent insertions, deletions and updates), tend to be large (sky maps can reach sizes of Terabytes) requiring the integration of the secondary storage. There is no standard spatial algebra, that is the set of spatial operators depends on the specific application. Another important property is that there is no total ordering on spatial objects preserving spatial proximity [10]. This characteristic makes difficult to use traditional indexing methods, like B-trees or linear hashing.

*Spatial data mining* analyzes the relationships between the attributes of a spatial object stored into the database and the attributes of the neighboring ones. Typical queries required by this kind of analysis are: *point queries*, to find all objects overlapping the query point; *range queries*, to find all objects having at least one common point with a query window; *nearest neighbor queries*, to find all objects that have a minimum distance from the query object.

These principles can be generalized to multidimensional data. Access methods to multidimensional database can be classified in *Point Access Methods* (PAM) and *Spatial Access Methods* (SAM). PAM are designed to perform searches on point databases. They usually arrange data in buckets, each one corresponding to a disk page. The buckets are indexed by either flat or hierarchical data structures: flat structures are used in multidimensional hashing methods like the grid file and EXCELL; hierarchical structures are used in hierarchical access methods like quadtree, kd-tree and kd-B-tree. SAM manage objects with spatial properties like area and shape. Access methods in SAM are often extensions of PAM ones to handle objects with a spatial extent. Such methods include R-tree, R\*-tree and Multi-layer grid file.

#### 3.1 Quadtree

The term *quadtree* is used to describe a class of hierarchical data structures based on the principle of recursive decomposition of the space. They can be distinguished by the following elements [11]:

- the type of data they are used to represent;
- the principle guiding the decomposition process;
- the resolution (variable or not)



resulting hierarchical division of the space depends on the *splitting rule*.

A drawback of kd-trees is that they have to be completely contained into main memory. With large datasets this is not feasible. kd-B-trees [15] and hB-trees [16] combine properties of kd-trees and B-trees to overcome this problem.

### 3.3 R-tree and its variants

The R-trees [17] are hierarchical data structures meant to efficiently index multidimensional objects with a spatial extent. They are used to store not the real objects but their minimum bounding box (MBB). Each node of the R-tree corresponds to a disk page. Similar to B-trees, the R-trees are balanced and they guarantee an efficient memory usage. Due to the overlapping between the MBBs of sibling nodes, in an R-tree a range query can require more than one search path to be traversed.

To solve the overlapping problem, the  $R^+$ -tree access method introduced in [18] uses a clipping operation to avoid the intersection between intervals at the same tree level. Objects intersecting more than one MBB at a specific level are clipped and copied in several pages. This way, a single search path is traversed for an exact match query. However, insertion operations are more complex.

In the R-trees, search performances depend on the insertion algorithms. In [19] an improved version of the R-tree, called  $R^*$ -tree, has been proposed. This version uses a new insertion policy which significantly improves search performances. The main target of this policy is to minimize the overlapping between MBBs of sibling nodes to reduce the number of search path to be traversed during a query operation.

## 4 Clustering algorithms on large datasets

Clustering algorithms have to locate regions of interest in which to perform more detailed analysis and point out correlations between objects. An important issue, in large datasets, is the efficiency and scalability of the clustering algorithms with respect to the dataset size.

Many scalable algorithms have been proposed in the last ten years, including: BIRCH [20], CURE [21], CLIQUE [22].

In particular, BIRCH is a hierarchical clustering algorithm. The main idea behind the algorithm is to compress data into small subclusters and then to perform a standard partitional clustering on the subclusters. Each subcluster is represented by a *clustering feature* which is a triplet summarizing information about the group of data objects, that is the number of points contained into the cluster and the linear sum and the square sum of the data points. This algorithm has a linear cost with respect to the number of data points.

CURE is an hierarchical agglomerative algorithm. Instead of using a single centroid or object, it selects a fixed number of well-scattered objects to represent each cluster. The distance between two clusters is defined as the distance between

the closest pair of representatives points and at each step of the algorithm, the two closest clusters are merged. The algorithm terminates when the desired number of clusters is obtained. To reduce the computational cost of the algorithm, these steps are performed on a data sample (using suitable sampling techniques). Its computational cost is not worse than the BIRCH one.

CLIQUE has been designed to locate clusters in subspaces of high dimensional data. This is useful because generally, in high dimensional spaces, data are scattered. CLIQUE partitions the space into a grid of disjoint rectangular units of equal size. The algorithm is made up of three phases: first, it finds subspaces containing clusters of dense units, then identifies the clusters, and finally generates a minimum description for each cluster. Also this algorithm scales linearly with the database size.

## 5 Supervised learning and classification

Classification algorithms are required in order to identify objects belonging to known classes. In case of scientific (and in particular astrophysics) data, care has to be taken on the interpretability of the classification results. For this reason, one of the most popular method to classify scientific data (in addition to neural networks) is the algorithm based on decision trees [23]. In fact, with this method, the learning algorithm produces a binary tree which performs the classification by means of value ranges on the data attributes.

Recently, Support Vector Machines (SVMs) are an active research domain within the field of machine learning.

### 5.1 SVM for classification and novelty detection

SVM and the related kernel methods are becoming popular for data mining tasks like classification, regression and novelty detection. This approach is systematic, reproducible, and properly grounded by statistical learning theory [24].

In its simplest form, an SVM is able to perform a binary classification finding the “best” separating hyperplane between two linearly separable classes. There are infinite hyperplanes properly separating the data. So, the SVM finds this plane maximizing the distance, or **margin**, between the *support* planes for each class (see [25] for theoretical foundations). A plane supports a class if all points in that class are on one side of that plane. This problem is formulated as a quadratic programming problem (QP) and can be solved by effective robust algorithms. If the data is not linearly separable, *slack* variables are introduced into the QP problem to accept outliers. Finally, a further non-linearity is introduced using kernel functions (satisfying the Mercer’s condition [25]) to map data to a higher dimensional space.

In many real problems, the task is not classifying but novelties or anomalies detecting. In astrophysics, possible applications are the research of anomalous events or new astronomical sources. An approach is modeling the *support* of a

distribution (rather than estimating the density function of the data). A method to solve this problem is represented by the Support Vector Clustering (SVC) algorithm [26], in which data are mapped to a higher dimensional space by means of a Gaussian kernel function. In the new space, the algorithm finds the minimum sphere enclosing the data. The Mapping of the sphere to the original input space generates a set of contours enclosing the data and corresponding to the support of the distribution.

## 6 Conclusions

In this work we have studied data management and mining issues related to astrophysical data, aiming at a complete data mining framework. In particular, we have justified the need for a data warehousing approach to handle astrophysical data and we have focused on multidimensional access methods to efficiently index spatial and multidimensional data. A second issue concerns clustering techniques on large datasets, and we have discussed about some scalable algorithms with linear computational complexity. Finally, we have focused on classification algorithms introducing an increasingly popular method named Support Vector Machine, whose applications include classification, regression and novelty detection tasks.

## References

- [1] M. Berry, G. Linoff, *Data Mining Techniques: For Marketing, Sales and Customer Support*, John Wiley & Sons (1997)
- [2] K. D. Borne, *Data Mining in Astronomical Databases*, Proc. MPA/ESO/MPE Joint Astronomy Conference on Mining the Sky, Garching, Germany (2000)
- [3] R. J. Brunner, S. G. Djorgovski, T. A. Prince, A. S. Szalay, *Massive Datasets in Astronomy*, Invited Review for the Handbook of Massive Datasets, editors J. Abello, P. Pardalos, and M. Resende (2001)
- [4] A. S. Szalay, P. Kunszt, J. Gray, D. Slutz, R. J. Brunner, *Designing and Mining Multi-Terabyte Astronomy Archives: The Sloan Digital Sky Survey*, Proc. ACM SIGMOD, ACM Press, 451-462 (2000)
- [5] C. Cheung, *Astrophysics Multispectral Archive Search Engine AMASE - A Search and Discovery Tool*, ADASS'98 (1998)
- [6] P. Dowler, D. Schade, R. Zingle, D. Durand, S. Gaudet, *Scientific Data Mining* ASP Conf. Ser., Vol. 216, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems IX, eds. N. Manset, C. Veillet, D. Crabtree (San Francisco: ASP), 211 (2000)
- [7] W. H. Inmon, *What is a Data Warehouse?*, Prism Tech Topics 1(1) (1997)

- 
- [8] P. Giudici, *Data Mining: metodi statistici per le applicazioni aziendali*, McGraw-Hill (2001)
  - [9] S. Peterson, *Stars: A Pattern Language for Query Optimized Schema*, Portland Pattern Repository (1999)
  - [10] V. Gaede, O. Gunther, *Multidimensional access methods*, ACM Comput. Surv., 30:170-231 (1998)
  - [11] H. Samet, *The Design and Analysis of Spatial Data Structures*, Addison Wesley (1990)
  - [12] P. Z. Kunszt, A. S. Szalay, A. R. Thakar, *The Hierarchical Triangular Mesh*, Proc. of the MPA/ESO/MPE workshop in Mining the sky, 631-637, Springer Verlag (2001)
  - [13] K. M. Górski, E. Hivon, B. D. Wandelt, *Analysis Issues for Large CMB Data Sets*, Proc. Evolution of Large Scale Structure (1998)
  - [14] J. L. Bentley, *Multidimensional binary search trees used for associative searching*, Communications of the ACM, 18(9), 509-517 (1975)
  - [15] J. T. Robinson, *The KD-B-tree: a search structure for large multidimensional Dynamic Indexes*, Proc. ACM SIGMOD Int. Conf. on Management of Data, 10-18 (1981)
  - [16] D. B. Lomet, B. Salzberg, *A Multiattribute Indexing Method with Good Guaranteed Performance*, ACM Trans. on Database Systems (1990)
  - [17] A. Guttman, *R-trees: A Dynamic index Structure for Spatial Searching*, Proc. ACM SIGMOD Int. Conf. on Management of Data, 47-57 (1984)
  - [18] T. Sellis, N. Roussopoulos, C. Faloutsos, *The R<sup>+</sup>-tree: A dynamic index for multidimensional objects*, Proc. 13th Int. Conf on Very Large Data Bases, 507-518 (1987)
  - [19] N. Beckmann, H.-P. Kriegel, R. Schneider, B. Seeger, *The R\*-tree: An Efficient and Robust Access Method for Points and Rectangles*, Proc. ACM SIGMOD Int. Conf. on Management of Data, 322-331 (1990)
  - [20] T. Zhang, R. Ramakrishnan, M. Livny, *BIRC: An efficient Data Clustering Method for Very Large Databases*, Proc. ACM SIGMOD Int. Conf. on Management of Data, 103-114 (1996)
  - [21] S. Guha, R. Rastogi, K. Shim, *CURE: An Efficient Clustering Algorithm for Large Databases*, Proc. ACM SIGMOD Int. Conf. on Management of Data, 73-84 (1998)
  - [22] R. Agrawal, J. Gehrke, D. Gunopulos, P. Raghavan, *Automatic Subspace Clustering of High Dimensional Data for Data Mining Applications*, Proc. ACM SIGMOD Int. Conf. on Management of Data (1998)

- [23] J. R. Quinlan, *Induction of Decision Trees*, Machine Learning 1(1), 81-106 (1986)
- [24] K. P. Bennett, C. Campbell, *Support Vector Machines: Hype or Hallelujah?*, ACM SIGKDD Explorations 2(2) (2000)
- [25] V. N. Vapnik, *The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory*, Second Edition, Springer (1999)
- [26] A. Ben-Hur, D. Horn, H. T. Siegelmann, V. Vapnik, *Support Vector Clustering*, Journal of Machine Learning Research 2(Dec), 125-137 (2001)